Genotoxicological assessment of a range of commercially available next generation product aerosols reveals marked reductions in biological activity compared to cigarette smoke **United Kingdom Environmental Mutagen Society conference, Bath** 7th-10th July 2024



IMPERIAL

BRANDS

Fiona Chapman^{1*}, Roman Wieczorek², Edgar Trelles Sticken², Sarah Jean Pour², Torge Evenburg², Matthew Stevenson¹, Emmanuel Minet¹ ¹Imperial Brands PLC, 121 Winterstoke Road, Bristol, BS3 2LL, UK; ²Imperial Brands Reemtsma, Albert-Einstein-Ring 7, 22761, Hamburg, Germany *Corresponding author's e-mail: Fiona.Chapman@impbrands.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Next generation products (NGPs) offer adult smokers potentially reduced harm alternatives for nicotine delivery. NGPs do not burn tobacco, and therefore produce fewer and significantly lower levels of harmful chemicals compared to cigarette smoke [1, 2]. Distinct categories of NGPs are available, including heated tobacco products (HTPs) and electronic vapour products (EVPs), and within these a range of product designs are available to suit consumer preferences. Whilst the in vitro biological effects of such products are being increasingly characterised, a range of testing methods are applied, particularly with regards to the aerosol fractions to which cells are exposed.

2. AIM

This study aimed to compare, on a per puff basis, the biological effects of fresh, whole aerosols from a range of commercially available NGPs (HTPs, EVPs) to 1R6F reference and very low nicotine (VLN) cigarette smoke, using our whole, fresh smoke/ aerosol exposure approach.



Test articles

Table 1: Summary of products used in the study. EVP = electronic vapour product; HTP = heated tobacco product; VLN = very low nicotine.

Product	Product category	Product details
1R6F	Cigarette	1R6F reference cigarette
VLN King	Cigarette	Very Low Nicotine King cigarette
		Blade heating technology
IQUS 5 DUO + MEETS RUSSET	пік	Rich toasted tobacco stick
	ЦТр	Induction heating technology
IQUS ILUIVIA + TEKEA KUSSET	піг	Rich toasted tobacco stick
Pulzo 2 0 + iD Pich Bronzo	ЦТр	Pin heating technology
	піг	Rich tobacco stick
RELX Classic Tobacco	EV/D	Pod-based system (mesh)
	LVF	Classic tobacco e-liquid
ELFA Watermelon	EV/D	Pod-based system (mesh)
	EVP	Watermelon e-liquid
Blu 2 0 Goldon Tobacco	E\/D	Pod-based system (ceramic)
Biu 2.0 Golden Iobacco	LVF	Golden tobacco e-liquid

In vitro toxicology assays

Three regulatory *in vitro* toxicological assays were performed:

- Neutral red uptake (NRU) assay: BEAS-2B cells; standard assay protocols were followed in accordance with ISO 17025 [3]. Outcomes were compared on a number of puffs required to induce 20% (EC₂₀) and 50% (EC₅₀) cytotoxicity basis.
- Ames test: Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA102, TA1535, TA1537 (±S9 metabolising system); carried out in compliance with OECD test Guideline 471 [4]. Mutagenic activity was analysed using the slope of the dose-response (fold increase in revertants) using a nonthreshold model and Dunnett's test.

4.1 Cytotoxicity (NRU) outcomes



4.2 Ames test outcomes

• Under the test conditions, the VLN cigarette was classed as mutagenic across the strains tested (+/-S9), as was 1R6F, with the exception of TA1535

80

60

40

20

Q

- Whilst largely not mutagenic across the strains, the HTPs indicated mutagenic potential in the TA100 strain, however, this was observed at higher numbers of puffs than for the cigarettes
- The fresh whole aerosols of the EVPs did not induce mutagenic outcomes in any of the strains under the test conditions
- Next steps will involve completion of the datasets for all products (i.e., Pulze 2.0 + iD Rich Bronze and Blu 2.0 Golden Tobacco)
- Table 2: Classifications in the Ames test for the products included in this study.

Exposure of Beas-2B cells to increasing numbers of puffs of fresh whole smoke/ aerosols revealed distinct cytotoxicity outcomes dependent on product category

SCIENCE

- The cigarettes were substantially more potent than the HTPs and EVPs tested, requiring substantially lower numbers of puffs to induce the same effects
- Furthermore, the EVPs were less potent than the HTPs

Figure 2: Number of puffs required to induce 20% (EC20) and 50% (EC50) cytotoxicity compared to negative control (puffs of air) following exposure of Beas-2B cells to the fresh whole smoke/ aerosols of the study products.

Micronucleus (MN) assay: V79 cells (±S9); carried out in compliance with OECD test Guideline 487 [5]. Outcomes were assessed for significance using a Chi-Square analysis with Cochran-Armitage trend test. Outcomes were compared for products inducing a positive outcome via EC_{MN3}, the number of puffs required to induce a three-times increase in MN frequency above historic background levels by non-linear regression analysis.

Smoke/ aerosol exposure

For the NRU and MN assays, fresh whole aerosol/ smoke was generated using a bespoke smoking machine, the Smoke/Aerosol Exposure In Vitro System (SAEIVS) (Figure 1) to expose cells at the air/liquid interface. The SAEIVS is a five-port smoking machine directly connected to exposure chambers equipped with smoke "distributors" for 24 and 96 well plates. The system is further detailed by Wieczorek et al. (2023) [6].

In the case of the Ames assay, whole smoke/aerosol was bubbled through the bacterial cultures, achieved using the Vitrocell VC 10 S-Type Smoking Robot.

The following smoking regimes were applied:

Cigarettes: ISO 20778 [7] (55ml puff volume, 2s puff duration, 30s puff interval, bell shaped puff profile; ventilation blocking)

HTPs: Modified ISO 20778 [7] (55ml puff volume, 2s puff duration, 30s puff interval, bell shaped puff profile; no ventilation blocking). All devices were operated at their highest temperature setting

EVPs: ISO 20768 [8] (55ml puff volume/ 3s puff duration/ 30s puff interval; square shaped puff profile).



Figure 1: Diagrammatic representation of the Smoke/Aerosol Exposure Vitro System In (Wieczorek *et al.*, 2023) [6], consisting of 5 smoking chambers (SCs) exposure two and chambers in which 24 or multiwell plates 96 (MWP) can be exposed.

Product	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+\$9	-S9
1R6F	Mutagenic	Mutagenic	Mutagenic	Mutagenic	Mutagenic	Mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Mutagenic	Mutagenic
VLN King	Mutagenic									
IQOS 3 Duo + HEETS Russet	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Mutagenic	Mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic
IQOS ILUMA + TEREA Russet	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Mutagenic	Mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic
Pulze 2.0 + iD Rich Bronze	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Mutagenic	Mutagenic	-	-	-	-	-	-
RELX Classic Tobacco	Not mutagenic									
ELFA Watermelon	Not mutagenic									
Blu 2.0 Golden Tobacco	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	Not mutagenic	-	-	-	-	-	-

Numb

puffs

4.3 Micronucleus assay outcomes



- Under the test conditions, 1R6F induced genotoxic outcomes at substantially lower numbers of puffs than compared to the HTPs
- For the EVPs, all outcomes were negative, with the exception of RELX Classic Tobacco, which was classed as equivocal –S9
- A next step will be to assess the VLN King cigarette in the MN assay

Figure 3: Number of puffs required to induce a three-times increase in micronucleus frequency above historic negative control values in V79 cells exposed to the fresh whole smoke/ aerosols of the products detailed in the presence (+) and absence (-) of S9. For cells marked with an X, no EC_{MN3} value could be derived. Note, the lower the EC_{MN3} value, the higher the genotoxic potential.

5. CONCLUSIONS

- Overall, the cigarettes were consistently the most potent test articles, in terms of their biological activity, across the three assays
- In contrast, responses to the HTPs and EVPs were substantially reduced compared to the cigarettes
- The EVPs were the least potent test articles and did not include positive outcomes in the Ames and MN assays. Where the HTPs induced responses in the Ames test and MN assay, these were at substantially greater numbers of puffs than for the cigarettes
- The results support the proposed placement of nicotine products on a relative risk scale, with cigarette smoking presenting the most risk through exposure to toxicants and NGPs lesser risk, likely due to the presence of fewer and lower levels of toxicants within their aerosols [9]
- Overall, the data suggests that NGPs have the potential to offer harm reduced alternatives to smoking cigarettes and the potential to make a meaningful contribution to tobacco harm reduction
- Future work will involve the testing of further market HTPs and EVPs using the whole smoke/ aerosol exposure approach to add to the weight of evidence for the tobacco harm reduction potential of NGPs

1.	Chapman F, Sticken ET, Wieczorek R, Pour SJ, Dethloff O, Budde J, Rudd K, Mason E, Czekala L, Yu F, Simms L, Nahde T, O'Connell G, Stevenson M. Multiple endpoint in vitro toxicity assessment of a prototype heated tobacco	5.	OECD (2016). Test No. 487: In Vitro Mammalian Cell Micronucleus Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals. OECD Publishing, Paris (2016), 10.1787/9789264264861-en
	product indicates substantially reduced effects compared to those of combustible cigarette. Toxicol In Vitro. 2023 Feb;86:105510. doi: 10.1016/j.tiv.2022.105510. Epub 2022 Nov 11. PMID: 36372310	6.	Wieczorek R, Trelles Sticken E, Pour SJ, Chapman F, Röwer K, Otte S, Stevenson M, Simms L. Characterisation of a smoke/ aerosol exposure in vitro system (SAEIVS) for delivery of complex mixtures directly to cells at the air-
2.	Rudd K, Stevenson M, Wieczorek R, Pani J, Trelles Sticken E, Dethloff O, Czekala L, Simms L, Buchanan F, O'Connell G, Walele T. Chemical composition and in vitro toxicity profile of a pod-based E-cigarette aerosol compared to)	liquid interface. J Appl Toxicol. 2023 Jul;43(7):1050-1063. doi: 10.1002/jat.4442. Epub 2023 Feb 13. PMID: 36734622
	cigarette smoke. App. Vitro Toxicol., 6 (1) (2020), pp. 11-41, 10.1089/aivt.2019.0015	7.	ISO 20778:2018 - cigarettes - routine analytical cigarette smoking machine - definitions and standard conditions with an intense smoking regime. ICS, https://www.iso.org/standard/69065.html
3.	ISO 17025:2017 - General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories. ICS, https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/en/#iso:std:iso-iec:17025:ed-3:v1:en	8.	ISO 20768:2018 - vapour products - routine analytical vaping machine - definitions and standard conditions. ICS, https://www.iso.org/standard/69019.html
4.	OECD (2016). Test No. 471: Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals. OECD Publishing, Paris (2020), 10.1787/9789264071247-en	9.	https://imperialbrandsscience.com/our-ngp-portfolio/